Detecting Dementia through Retrospective Analysis of Routine Blog Posts by Bloggers with Dementia
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Abstract
We investigate if writers with dementia can be automatically distinguished from those without by analyzing linguistic markers in written text, in the form of blog posts. We have built a corpus of several thousand blog posts, some by people with dementia and others by people with loved ones with dementia. We use this dataset to train and test several machine learning methods, and achieve prediction performance at a level far above the baseline.

1 Introduction
Dementia is estimated to become a trillion dollar disease worldwide by 2018, and prevalence is expected to double to 74.7 million by 2030 (Prince, 2015). Dementia is a clinical syndrome caused by neurodegenerative illnesses (e.g. Alzheimer’s Disease, vascular dementia, Lewy Body dementia). Symptoms can include memory loss, decreased reasoning ability, behavioral changes, and – relevant to our work – speech and language impairment, including fluency, word choice and sentence structure (Klimova and Kuca, 2016).

Recently, there have been attempts to combine clinical information with language analysis using machine learning and NLP techniques to aid in diagnosis of dementia, and to distinguish between types of pathologies (Jarrold et al., 2014; Rentoumi et al., 2014; Orimaye et al., 2014; Fraser et al., 2015; Masrani et al., 2017). This would provide an inexpensive, non-invasive and efficient screening tool to assist in early detection, treatment and institution of supports. Yet, much of the work to date has focused on analyzing spoken language collected during formal assessment, usually with standardized exam tools.

There are downsides to using written language samples as well. Unlike spoken language, written text can be edited or revised by oneself or others. People with dementia may have “good days” and “bad days,” and may write only on days when they are feeling lucid, and therefore written samples may be biased towards more intact language. Furthermore, we do not have an accompanying audio file and patients are not constrained to a single topic; people with dementia may have greater facility discussing familiar topics. A non-standardized dataset will also prevent the collection of common test-specific linguistic or acoustic features. However, working with a very large dataset may be able to mitigate the effects of these limitations.

In this work we gather a corpus of blog posts publicly available online, some by people with dementia and others by the loved ones of people with dementia. We extract a variety of linguistic features from the texts, and compare multiple machine learning methods for detecting posts written by people with dementia. All models perform well above the baseline, demonstrating the feasibility of this detection task.
2 Related Work

Early signs of dementia can be detected through analysis of writing samples (Le et al., 2011; Riley et al., 2005; Kemper et al., 2001). In the “Nun Study” researchers analyzed autobiographies written in the US by members of the School Sisters of Notre Dame between 1931-1996. Those nuns who met criteria for dementia had lower grammatical complexity scores and lower “idea density” in their autobiographies.

Le et al. (2011) performed a longitudinal analysis of the writing styles of three novelists: Iris Murdoch who died with Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Agatha Christie (suspected AD), and P.D. James (normal brain aging). Measurements of syntactic and lexical complexity were made from 51 novels spanning each of the author careers. Murdoch and Christie exhibited evidence of linguistic decline in later works, such as vocabulary loss, increased repetition, and a deficit of noun tokens (Le et al., 2011).

Despite evidence that linguistic markers predictive of dementia can be found in writing samples, there have been no attempts to train models to classify dementia based on writing alone. Previous work has been successful in training models using transcribed utterances from patients undergoing formal examinations, but this data is difficult to acquire and many models use audio and/or test-specific features which would not be available from online text (Rentoumi et al., 2014; Orimaye et al., 2014; Fraser et al., 2014; Roark et al., 2011). State-of-the-art classification accuracy of 81.92% was achieved by Fraser et al. (2015) with logistic regression using acoustic, textual, and test-specific features on 473 samples from DemenitiaBank dataset, an American cohort of 204 persons with dementia and 102 controls describing the “Cookie Theft Picture”, a component of the Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination (Becker et al., 1994; Giles et al., 1996). More recently, these results have been extended via domain adaptation by Masrani et al. (Masrani et al., 2017).

Our methods are similar to Fraser et al. (2015), with the main difference being the dataset used and their inclusion of audio and test-specific features, which are not available in our case. To the best of our knowledge, ours is the first comparison of models trained exclusively on unstructured written samples from persons with dementia.

3 Experimental Design

In this section, we describe the novel blog corpus and experimental setup.

3.1 Corpus

We scraped the text of 2805 posts from 6 public blogs as described in Table 1. Three blogs were written by persons with dementia (First blogger: male, AD, age unknown. Second blogger: female, AD, age 61. Third blogger: Male, Dementia with Lewy Bodies, age 66) and three written by family members of persons with dementia to be used as control (all female, ages unknown). Other demographic information, such as education level, was unavailable. From each of the three dementia blogs, we manually filtered all texts not written by the owner of the blog (e.g. fan letters) or posts containing more images than text. We were left with 1654 samples written by persons with dementia and 1151 from healthy controls. The script to download the corpus is available at https://github.com/vadmas/blog_corpus/.

3.2 Classification Features

Following Fraser et al. (2015), we extracted 101 features across six categories from each blog post. These features are described below.

Parts Of Speech (14) We use the Stanford Tagger (Toutanova et al., 2003) to capture the frequency of various parts of speech tags (nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs, pronouns, determiners, etc). Frequency counts are normalized by the number of words in the sentence, and we report the sentence average for a given post. We also count not-in-dictionary words and word-type ratios (noun to verb, pronoun to noun, etc).

Context Free Grammar (45) Features which count how often a phrase structure rule occurs in a sentence, including NP→VP PP, NP→DT NP, etc. Parse trees come from the Stanford parser (Klein and Manning, 2003).

Syntactic Complexity (28) Features which measure the complexity of an utterance through metrics such as the depth of the parse tree, mean length of word, sentences, T-Units and clauses and clauses per sentence. We used the L2 Syntactic Complexity Analyzer (Lu, 2010).

Psycholinguistic (5) Psycholinguistic features are linguistic properties of words that effect word
Table 1: Blog Information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Posts</th>
<th>Mean words</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://creatingmemories.blogspot.ca/">https://creatingmemories.blogspot.ca/</a></td>
<td>618</td>
<td>242.22 (s=169.42)</td>
<td>Dec 2003</td>
<td>AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://living-with-alzhiemers.blogspot.ca/">http://living-with-alzhiemers.blogspot.ca/</a></td>
<td>344</td>
<td>263.03 (s=140.28)</td>
<td>Sept 2006</td>
<td>AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://parkblog-silverfox.blogspot.ca/">http://parkblog-silverfox.blogspot.ca/</a></td>
<td>692</td>
<td>393.21 (s=181.54)</td>
<td>May 2009</td>
<td>Lewy Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://journeywithdementia.blogspot.ca/">http://journeywithdementia.blogspot.ca/</a></td>
<td>201</td>
<td>803.91 (s=548.34)</td>
<td>Mar 2012</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://earlyonset.blogspot.ca/">http://earlyonset.blogspot.ca/</a></td>
<td>452</td>
<td>615.11 (s=206.72)</td>
<td>Jan 2008</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://helpparentsagewell.blogspot.ca/">http://helpparentsagewell.blogspot.ca/</a></td>
<td>498</td>
<td>227.12 (s=209.17)</td>
<td>Sept 2009</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

processing and learnability (Salsbury et al., 2011). We used five psycholinguisic features: Familiarity, Concreteness, Imageability, Age of acquisition, and the SUBTL, which is a measure of the frequency with which a word is used in daily life (Kuperman et al., 2012; Brysbaert and New, 2009a; Salsbury et al., 2011). Psycholinguistic word scores are derived from human ratings\(^1\) while the SUBTL frequency norm\(^2\) is based on 50 million words from television and film subtitles (Brysbaert and New, 2009b).

**Vocabulary Richness (4)** We calculated four metrics which capture the range of vocabulary in a text: type-token ratio, Brunet’s index, a length insensitive version of the type-token ratio, Hönore’s statistic, and the moving-average type-token ratio (MATTR) (Asp and De Villiers, 2010; Covington and McFall, 2010). These metrics have been shown to be effective in previous AD research (Bucks et al., 2000; Fraser et al., 2015).

**Repetitiveness (5)** We represent sentences as TF-IDF vectors and compute the cosine similarity between sentences. We then report the proportion of sentence pairs below three similarity thresholds (0, 0.3, 0.5) as well as the min and average cosine distance across all pairs of sentences.

### 3.3 Training and Testing

We perform a 9-fold cross validation by training each model on all the posts of four blogs and testing on the remaining two, where we assure that each test set contains the posts of one control blog and one dementia blog. Within each fold we perform a feature selection step before training where we select for inclusion into the model the first k features which have the highest absolute correlation with the labels in the training fold.

### 4 Results

For each machine learning model, we calculate the ROC curve and the area under the curve (AUC), comparing with a random performance baseline AUC of 0.5. The AUC results are shown in Figure 1, with all models well above the baseline of 0.5. The best performing models are logistic regression and neural networks, with average AUC scores of 0.815 and 0.848, respectively.

The SUBTL measure of vocabulary richness was the feature most correlated with the outcome variable in eight out of nine folds. Figure 2 shows the SUBTL scores for each blog post in the corpus, arranged by blog and with the bloggers with dementia shown in the top row. A lower score indicates a richer vocabulary. We can see that the bloggers with dementia have a less rich vocabulary. Interestingly, however, the longitudinal trend does not show their vocabularies worsening during the time-period captured in this corpus. The analysis of other features highly informative for the target prediction is ongoing, and additional findings will be discussed at the workshop.

### 5 Conclusion

We have shown that it is possible to distinguish bloggers with dementia from those without, on a novel corpus of blog data. We extracted linguistic features from the texts and compared a large number of machine learning methods, all of which performed well above the baseline. While feature analysis is ongoing, we have made some interesting observations about the effect of the SUBTL measure of vocabulary richness. Future work will include liaising with patient and caregiver support groups to expand this new dementia corpus, inclusion of a topic clustering preprocessing step to control for variation across content, and further longitudinal analysis.

\(^1\)http://websites.psychology.uwa.edu.au/school/MRCDatabase/uwa_mrc.htm
\(^2\)http://subtlexus.lexique.org/
Figure 1: Comparison of models. We show the mean AUC and 90% confidence intervals across a 9-fold CV. All the posts of a blog appear in either the training or test set, but not both.

Figure 2: SUBTL word scores for each post in a given blog. Bloggers with dementia (AD or Dementia w/ Lewy Bodies) appear in the top row.
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